

# Theorem : Division Alg. in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

$$F = f_1, \dots, f_s, \quad , \quad > \text{ mon. ord}$$

Any  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  can be written as

$$f = q_1 f_1 + \dots + q_s f_s + r$$

where either  $r = 0$  OR None of the monomials of  $r$  are divisible by any of  $LT(f_1), \dots, LT(f_s)$

Further if  $q_i f_i \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{multideg}(f) \geq \text{multideg}(q_i f_i)$ .

Proof:

Input :  $f_1, \dots, f_s, f$   
 Output :  $q_1, \dots, q_s, r$   
 $q_1 := 0; \dots; q_s := 0; r := 0$   
 $p := f$

intermediate  $q_i, r$  at each step

$$f = q_1 f_1 + \dots + q_s f_s + p + r$$

WHILE  $p \neq 0$  DO

$i := 1$

← Checks if we have done division

divisionoccurred := false

WHILE  $i \leq s$  AND divisionoccurred = false DO

IF  $LT(f_i)$  divides  $LT(p)$  THEN

$$q_i := q_i + LT(p)/LT(f_i)$$

$$p := p - (LT(p)/LT(f_i))f_i$$

divisionoccurred := true

division step

ELSE

$i := i + 1$

no  $LT(f_i)$  divides  $LT(p)$

∴ move  $LT(p)$  into rem.

IF divisionoccurred = false THEN

$$r := r + LT(p)$$

$$p := p - LT(p)$$

rem. step

RETURN  $q_1, \dots, q_s, r$

Exactly one of div or rem occurs in each iteration

To Prove we get what we want

$$f = q_1 f_1 + \dots + q_s f_s + p + r \quad \text{holds at every step}$$

• Division step:  $\Rightarrow LT(f_i) \mid LT(p)$  for some  $i$

$$q_i f_i + P = \overbrace{\left( q_i + \frac{LT(P)}{LT(f_i)} \right)}^{\text{new } q_i} f_i + \overbrace{\left( P - \frac{LT(P)}{LT(f_i)} f_i \right)}^{\text{new } P}$$

rem step

$$P + r = \overbrace{\left( P - LT(P) \right)}^{\text{new } P} + \overbrace{\left( r + LT(P) \right)}^{\text{new } r}$$

$$\therefore f = q_1 f_1 + \dots + q_s f_s + P + r \text{ holds}$$

Must check that alg terminates

div step

$$P' = \overbrace{P - \frac{LT(P)}{LT(f_i)} f_i}^{\text{new } P}$$

rem step

$$P' = P - LT(P)$$

$$\text{mult deg}(P') < \text{mult deg}(P)$$

$\therefore$  by well ordering alg. must terminate  
 (since otherwise we would have an infinite decreasing sequence)

~~Q~~

## Ideal membership

divide  $f$  by  $F = f_1, \dots, f_s$  if  $r=0$

then  $f = q_1 f_1 + \dots + q_s f_s \Rightarrow f \in (f_1, \dots, f_s)$

$\therefore r=0$  is sufficient, is it is necessary for  $f \in (f_1, \dots, f_s)$ ?

No  $\cap$

$$f = xy^2 - x$$

[Ex]  $f_1 = xy - 1$ ,  $f_2 = y^2 - 1 \in K[x, y]$  with lex

• div  $f$  by  $F = f_1, f_2$  gives

$$xy^2 - x = f = y \cdot f_1 + 0 \cdot f_2 + (-x + y)$$

div  $f$  by  $F = f_2, f_1$  gives

$$f = x \cdot f_1 + 0 \cdot f_2 + 0$$

$$\therefore f \in (f_1, f_2)$$

point is: Div. alg. only sometimes solves ideal membership

Always solve if  $I = (\text{Groebner basis})$ .

## Monomials Ideals + Dickson Lemma

• For mon. ideals we can solve ideal description

Def: Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$  (possibly infinite)

$$I = (x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A) \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

is a monomial ideal.

↑  
show all mon. ideals  
have a finite basis

$$\text{Ex]} I = (x^2z, y^3z, x^2yz, z^7) \subseteq K[x, y, z]$$

Lemma]  $I = (x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A)$  a monomial ideal

$x^\beta \in I$  iff  $x^\beta$  is divisible by  $x^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in A$ .

Proof:  $\subseteq$   
 If  $x^\alpha \mid x^\beta$  for some  $\alpha \in A \Rightarrow x^\beta = x^\delta \cdot x^\alpha$   
 $\therefore x^\beta \in I$ .

$\Rightarrow x^\beta \in I$

$$x^\beta = \sum h_i x^{\alpha(i)} \quad h_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n], \alpha(i) \in A$$

But  $x^\beta$  is a monomial  $\therefore x^\beta = h_i x^{\alpha(i)}$  for some  $i$

$$x^\beta \in I \Leftrightarrow x^\beta = x^\delta x^\alpha \text{ for some } \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha + \mathbb{N}^n = \{ \alpha + \delta \mid \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n \}$$

$\uparrow$  all exponents of monomials in  $I$

$$\text{Ex]} I = (x^4)^2, x^3y^4, x^2y^5$$

