

Buchberger \Leftrightarrow a basis $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ of I is a GB iff $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G = 0 \quad \forall i, j$

i.e. each S-poly

$$S(g_i, g_j) = \sum q_k g_k + 0 \text{ using div}$$

Def Fix a mono-order let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\} \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
 $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ reduces to zero mod G

$f \xrightarrow{G} 0$ iff f has a standard representation

$$f = A_1 g_1 + \dots + A_t g_t, \quad A_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

s.t. when $A_i g_i \neq 0$

$$\text{mult deg}(f) \geq \text{mult deg}(A_i g_i) \quad \forall i$$

Lemma $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\} \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Fix $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

then $\overline{f}^G = 0$ implies $f \xrightarrow{G} 0$ however the converse is not true in general.

Proof: If $\overline{f}^G = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = q_1 g_1 + \dots + q_t g_t + 0$

$$\text{mult deg}(f) \geq \text{mult deg}(q_i g_i)$$

To see converse may fail:

$$f = x y^2 - x, \quad G = (x y + 1, y^2 - 1) \quad (\text{lex})$$

$$xy^2 - x = y(xy+1) + 0 \cdot (y^2-1) + (-x-y)$$

$$\text{So } \overline{f}_G = -x-y \neq 0$$

But

$$xy^2 - x = 0 \cdot (xy+1) + x(y^2-1) + 0$$

$$\text{mult deg}(xy^2 - x) \geq \text{mult deg}(x(y^2-1))$$

$$\therefore f \rightarrow_G 0$$

Theorem \Leftarrow Alternative Buchberger

A basis $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ for an ideal I is a
 G reduced basis iff $S(g_i, g_j) \rightarrow_G 0 \quad \forall i \neq j$.

Proof: \Rightarrow
 $I \neq G$ is a AB $\Rightarrow \overline{S(g_i, g_j)}_G = 0 \Rightarrow S(g_i, g_j) \rightarrow_G 0$

\Leftarrow In proof of original Buchberger crit
 we only required

$$S(g_i, g_j) = \sum A_l g_l$$

$$\text{where } \text{mult deg}(S(g_i, g_j)) \geq \text{mult deg}(A_l g_l) \neq 0$$

but this $S(g_i, g_j) \rightarrow_G 0$.

$\therefore G$ is a AB iff all S -poly of pairs have a standard rep.

Prop | Given $G \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ suppose $f, g \in G$
 s.t. $\text{LM}(f)$ and $\text{LM}(g)$ are relatively prime
 $\Rightarrow S(f, g) \rightarrow_G 0$.

P roof: May Suppose $LC(f) = LC(g) = 1$

$$f = LM(f) + p \quad , \quad g = LM(g) + q$$

$$lcm(LM(f), LM(g)) \stackrel{\text{since relatively prime}}{=} LM(f)LM(g)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore S(f, g) &= LM(g) \cdot f - LM(f) \cdot g \\ &= (g - q) \cdot f - (f - p) \cdot g \end{aligned}$$

$$= \underbrace{pg - qf}$$

If LM of two terms were the same

$$\Rightarrow LM(p)LM(g) = LM(q)LM(f)$$

$$\Rightarrow LM(g) \mid LM(q)$$

$$\text{but } LM(g) > LM(q)$$

$$\text{since } g = LM(g) + q$$

$$\therefore \text{mult deg}(S(f, g)) = \max(\text{mult deg}(p \cdot g), \text{mult deg}(q \cdot f))$$

$$\Rightarrow S(f, g) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} 0 \quad \text{since } f, g \in \mathcal{G}.$$

[Ex] $\mathcal{G} = (yz + y, x^3 + y, z^4)$ grlex. x^3, z^4 are relatively prime

$$\therefore S(x^3 + y, z^4) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} 0 \quad \text{by prop}$$

$$\text{but } \overline{S(x^3 + y, z^4)}_{\mathcal{G}} = y \neq 0$$

One more...

$$LT(S(f, g)) \subset \text{lcm}(LM(f), LM(g))$$

Def: Given $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$

$$S(f_i, f_j) = \sum A_k f_k$$

is a lcm representation provided that $\neq 0$

$$\text{lcm}(LM(f_i), LM(f_j)) \supset LT(A_k f_k)$$

Ex] $f_1 = xz + 1, f_2 = yz + 1, f_3 = xz + y - z + 1, x > y > z$

$$\begin{aligned}
S(f_1, f_2) &= \frac{\text{lcm}(f_1, f_2)}{LT(f_1)} f_1 - \frac{\text{lcm}(f_1, f_2)}{LT(f_2)} f_2 = \frac{xyz}{xz} f_1 - \frac{xyz}{yz} f_2 \\
&= xyz + y - xyz - x = -x + y \\
&= -f_1 + 0 \cdot f_2 + f_3
\end{aligned}$$

$$LT(S(f_1, f_3)) = y$$

$\text{mult deg}(f_3) \supset \text{mult deg}(y)$

not standard rep

(since for st. rep.

$\text{mult deg}(S(f_i, f_j)) \supset \text{mult deg}(A_k f_k)$)

is a p since

$$\text{lcm}(LM(f_1), LM(f_3)) = xz \supset \begin{matrix} xz \\ \text{or} \\ yz \end{matrix} = LT(A_k f_k)$$

Theorem | A basis $G = (g_1, \dots, g_t)$ for I is
a GB iff $S(g_i, g_j)$ has an lcm rep $\forall i \neq j$

Skip 2.10

Elimination

Def: Given $I = (f_1, \dots, f_s) \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ the
 d^{th} elimination ideal in $K[x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n]$
is

$$I_d = I \cap K[x_{d+1}, \dots, x_n].$$