

Properties of Gröbner Bases

every ideal $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has a GB. \square

Prop 1 Let $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal
let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ be a GB for I . Given $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. There \exists a unique $r \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ s.t.
(i) \forall term of r is div by any of $LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_t)$
(ii) $\exists g \in I$ s.t. $f = g + r$

proof: Existence done H.B.T proof.

uniqueness: say $f = g + r = g' + r'$ satisfy (i), (ii)

$$\Rightarrow r - r' = g' - g \in I$$

$$\text{if } r \neq r'$$

$$LT(g_i) \mid LT(r - r')$$

but this is a contradiction of (i) \blacksquare

Have shown:

unique remainder r of div. by GB

$$f = \underbrace{q_1 g_1 + \dots + q_t g_t}_{\text{not unique}} + \overbrace{r}^{\text{Normal form}}$$

$$\overline{f}^G = r$$

where $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$

Coro] for any ideal $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ $f \in I$ iff
 $\text{rem} = \bar{f}^a = 0$ of division of f by

$G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ Any Grobner basis of I .

To solve ideal membership we just
 divide by $G \cup B$

One way $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ can fail to be a GB
 is if

$a x^\alpha f_i - b x^\beta f_j$ cancels some leading terms
 of f_i or f_j .

Def] Let $f, g \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

(i) $\text{mult deg}(f) = \alpha$, $\text{mult deg}(g) = \beta$

$\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ $\gamma_i = \max(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$

$x^\gamma = \text{lcm}(LM(f), LM(g))$

↑
 least common multiple

(ii) The S-polynomial of f and g is

$$S(f, g) = \frac{x^\gamma}{LT(f)} f - \frac{x^\gamma}{LT(g)} g$$

Ex] $f = x^3 y^2 - x^2 y^3 + x$, $g = 3x^4 y + y^2$ (grlex)

$$\delta = (4, 2) \quad \text{lcm} = x^4 y^2 = \text{lcm}$$

$$S(f, g) = \frac{x^4 y^2}{x^3 y^2} f - \frac{x^4 y^2}{3x^4 y} g$$

$$= -x^3 y^3 + x^2 - \frac{y^3}{3}$$

Lemma

$$\mathcal{P} = \sum_{i=1}^s p_i, \quad \text{multdeg}(p_i) = \delta \in \mathbb{N}^n \quad \forall i$$

if $\text{multdeg}(\mathcal{P}) < \delta$

\Rightarrow \mathcal{P} is a lin. combo of S -poly $S(p_i, p_j)$

Also $\text{multdeg}(S(p_i, p_j)) < \delta$.

Proof:

Since $\text{multdeg}(\mathcal{P}) < \delta$ but $\text{multdeg}(p_i) = \delta$

$$\sum LC(p_i) = 0$$

$$\text{lcm}(p_i, p_j) = \text{LM}(p_j)$$

$$S(p_i, p_j) = \frac{1}{LC(p_i)} p_i - \frac{1}{LC(p_j)} p_j$$

$$\text{multdeg}(S(p_i, p_j)) < \delta$$

Since $\text{LM}(p_i), \text{LM}(p_j)$
cancel

$$\text{Let } d_i = LC(p_i)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} d_i S(p_i, p_s) = d_1 \left(\frac{1}{d_1} p_1 - \frac{1}{d_s} p_s \right) + \dots$$

$$= p_1 + \dots + p_{s-1} - \frac{1}{d_s} (d_1 + \dots + d_{s-1}) p_s$$

$$= -d_s$$

since

$$\sum d_i = 0$$

$$= p_1 + \dots + p_{s-1} + p_s = \cancel{0}$$

Thm] (Buchberger's Criterion)

Let I be an ideal in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. A basis $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ of I is a Gröbner basis of I iff for all pairs $i \neq j$ the rem. of div of $S(g_i, g_j)$ by G (in any order of g_i 's) is zero.

Proof:

$$\Rightarrow \text{if } G \text{ a gb} \Rightarrow S(g_i, g_j) \in I \Rightarrow \frac{S(g_i, g_j)}{S(g_i, g_j)} \stackrel{G}{=} 0$$

\Leftarrow

Let $f \in I$ show $LT(f) \in (LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_t))$

$$f = \sum_i \overset{\text{Polys}}{h_i} g_i$$

$$\text{mult deg}(f) \leq \max(\text{mult deg}(h_i g_i))$$

By well ordering among all choices of h 's \exists a choice

s.t

$$f = \max(\text{mult deg}(h_i g_i)) \text{ is the least}$$

$$\therefore \text{mult deg}(f) \leq \delta$$

$$\text{if } \text{mult deg}(f) = \delta \Rightarrow \text{LT}(g_i) \mid \text{LT}(f)$$

$$\therefore \text{LT}(f) \in (\text{LT}(g_1), \dots, \text{LT}(g_t))$$

Assume $\text{mult deg}(f) < \delta$

$$S(g_i, g_j) = c \quad i \neq j$$

use this to contradict f being minimal

$$f = \underbrace{\sum_{\text{mult deg}(h_i g_i) = \delta} \text{LT}(h_i) g_i}_{P} + \underbrace{\sum_{\text{mult deg}(h_i g_i) = \delta} (h_i - \text{LT}(h_i)) g_i + \sum_{\text{mult deg}(h_i g_i) < \delta} h_i g_i}_{\text{Both have mult deg} < \delta}$$

$$P_i = \text{LT}(h_i) g_i$$

So if $\text{mult deg}(f) < \delta$

$$\text{mult deg}(\sum P_i) < \delta$$

remember our lemma $\text{mult deg}(P_i) = \delta$ if both true

So we can rewrite using S -poly

i.e. $P = \sum P_i$ must be a lin combo of $S(P_i, P_j)$

$$S(P_i, P_j) = X^{\delta - \delta_{ij}} S(g_i, g_j) \quad \leftarrow \text{check}$$

\uparrow P is a lin. combo of these

$$S(g_i, g_j) = \sum_{l=1}^t A_l g_l \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{poly.} \\ \text{Since } \frac{S(g_i, g_j)}{S(g_i, g_j)} g_i = 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{mult deg}(A_l g_l) \leq \text{mult deg}(S(g_i, g_j))$$

$$x^{\delta - \delta_{ij}} S(g_i, g_j) = \sum B_l g_l$$

\uparrow
 $x^{\delta - \delta_{ij}} A_l$

$$\text{mult deg}(B_l g_l) \leq \text{mult deg}(x^{\delta - \delta_{ij}} S(g_i, g_j))$$

S-poly reduce LT values

in particular

$$LT(S(g_i, g_j)) < \text{lcm}(LM(g_i), LM(g_j))$$

$$\text{mult deg}(x^{\delta - \delta_{ij}} S(g_i, g_j)) < \delta$$

$\leq x^{\delta_{ij}}$
 \uparrow
 $x^{\delta_{ij}}$

\therefore All terms in $\mathcal{D} < \delta$

this is a contradiction



Ex] $I = (y - x^2, z - x^3)$ is a GB in lex $y > z > x$

$$S(y - x^2, z - x^3) = \frac{yz}{y} \overset{\leftarrow \text{lcm}}{(y - x^2)} - \frac{yz}{z} (z - x^3)$$

$$= -z^2 + yx^3$$

if we divide $S(y-x^2, z-x^3)$ by

$\{y-x^2, z-x^3\}$ we get remainder zero.

Thm: | (Buchberger's Algorithm)

Let $I = (f, \dots, f_s) \neq 0$ be a poly. ideal

A Gröbner basis for I can be constructed in a finite number of steps by the following

Input: $F = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$

Output: a GB $G = (g_1, \dots, g_t)$ for $I, F \subseteq G$

$G := F$

Repeat:

$G' = G$

For each pair $\{p, q\}$ in G' DO

$$r = \overline{S(p, q)}_{G'}$$

If $r \neq 0$ Then $G = G \cup \{r\}$

Until $G = G'$

Return G

Proof:

$I = (G)$ at every step since F is a basis of I

and $\overline{S(p, q)}_{G'} \in I$

$$S_G \quad G \cup \{r\} \subseteq I$$

how note if $a = G' \Rightarrow r = \overline{S(p,q)}^a = 0$ if pairs $p, q \in G$
 $\Rightarrow G$ is a G.B.

Show alg. terminates:

$$(LT(G')) \subseteq (LT(G)) \quad \text{since } G' \subseteq G \quad \leftarrow \text{has } r \text{ added}$$

If $G' \neq G \quad (LT(G')) \not\subseteq (LT(G))$ since

$$LT(r) = \text{rem of div by } G'$$

is not div by any of $LT(G')$

$$\therefore LT(r) \notin (LT(G'))$$

The ideals $(LT(G')) \subseteq (LT(G))$

form an ascending chain as alg runs

\therefore They must stabilize at some stage
by ACC

Lemma: Let G be a G.B of $I \subseteq K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
 $p \in G$ s.t. $LT(p) \in (LT(G \setminus \{p\}))$ Then
 $G \setminus \{p\}$ is also a G.B.

Minimal G.B: G.B G where $LE = 1$ and remove any
 redundant $p \in G$.

Def A reduced Gröbner basis G of I is
 a G.B s.t.

• $LC(p) = 1 \quad \forall p \in G$

• $\forall p \in G$ no monomial of p lies in $(LT(A, \{p\}))$

← This is how M2 checks equality of ideals.

Theorem | Let $I \neq \{0\}$ be a poly ideal. For a fixed monomial order I has a **unique** reduced Gröbner basis.